



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/714,365 11/14/2003		Stephen A. Yencho	148	1868
33109	7590 03/31/2005	•	EXAMINER	
CARDICA, INC. 900 SAGINAW DRIVE			GHERBI, SUZETTE JAIME J	
REDWOOD CITY, CA 94063			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3738	
			DATE MAILED: 03/31/200	DATE MAILED: 03/31/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

C3/	
DM	

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/714,365	YENCHO ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner '	Art Unit
	Suzette J Gherbi	3738
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	86(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 Ja	nuary 2005.	•
	action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	ice except for formal matters, pro	osecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		· ·
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-25 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-25 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or		;
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive i (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	

Application/Control Number: 10/714,365 Page 2

Art Unit: 3738

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

2. Claims 1-6, 8-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Gifford, III et al. 5,695,504. Gifford, III et al. discloses the structure and methods of the invention as claimed noting figures 24a-24d; and 25a-25d comprising: an inner element (284) connectable to a graft vessel wherein the inner element *includes a unitary body having a closed perimeter and an opening there through with the perimeter;* wherein at least a portion of the unitary body is deformable from a first configuration to a second configuration and deformable back to the first configuration (see col. 31, lines 55-57) and wherein the second configuration allows at least a portion of the unitary body to enter the opening in the target vessel and wherein the device is capable of performing an anastomosis between a graft vessel and a target vessel; and an outer element configured to engage the inner element to hold a portion of the graft vessel and a portion of the target vessel (see fig. 24b element "285"); wherein the outer element directly engages the inner element; wherein the inner element includes a curved first surface and wherein the curved first surface and curved second surface ech have a

Application/Control Number: 10/714,365 Page 3

Art Unit: 3738

substantially constant radius of curvature along their lengths; wherein at least one of the inner elements and outer elements is superelastic (see col. 9, lines 65-67; col 10, lines 1-2; including an interlocking member configured to engage the outer element (see col. 3, lines 58-60).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gifford III et al. in view of Kleshinski 5,755,778. Gifford III et al. has been disclosed above however, Gifford does not specify the material of Nitinol. Kleshinski teaches that anastomosis devices with expandable properties can be made from the material Nitinol (see col. 3, line 56). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make the invention of Gifford III et al. from Nitinol as taught by Kleshinski because Gifford discloses that super elastic alloys are envisioned for the invention see col. 9-10, line 66-1).

## Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments filed 1/18/05 have been considered with respect to claims 1-25 but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. The new rejection above discloses the invention as claimed including the new limitation of "an inner element including a unitary body having closed perimeter and an opening there through".

#### **Conclusion**

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/714,365

Art Unit: 3738

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Suzette J. Jackson whose work schedule is Monday-Friday 9-6:30 off every other Friday and whose telephone number is 571-272-4751.

Page 5

- The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding 8. is assigned are 703-872-9306.
- Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or 9. proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0858.

23 March 2005